

Notes on Nahum

2021 Edition
Dr. Thomas L. Constable

Introduction

TITLE AND WRITER

The title of the book comes from the name of its writer.

We know nothing about Nahum ("compassion," "consolation," or "comfort") other than what we read in this book. His name proved significant since he brought comfort and consolation to the Judeans with his prophecies. He was "the Elkoshite" (1:1), so he evidently came from a town named Elkosh, but the location of such a town has yet to be discovered. Scholars have suggested that it stood near Nineveh, in Galilee, near Capernaum (City of Nahum?), east of the Jordan River, or somewhere in Judah.¹

Since Nahum was a Jewish prophet, and evidently lived after the fall of Samaria in 722 B.C., a location in Galilee or Judah seems most likely to me.² Of these two sites, Judah seems the more probable.³ Perhaps the Assyrians had carried his family away to Mesopotamia when they conquered the kingdom of Israel and large parts of Judah, and Nahum somehow managed to return to Judah later.⁴ This may explain Nahum's familiarity with things Assyrian.

¹See Walter A. Maier, *The Book of Nahum*, pp. 21-26; George L. Robinson, *The Twelve Minor Prophets*, pp. 107-8; Arno C. Gaebelin, *The Annotated Bible*, 2:3:195.

²See C. F. Keil, "Nahum," in *The Twelve Minor Prophets*, 2:1-3, for refutation of the location of Elkosh in Assyria, where a traditional tomb of Nahum exists.

³See George Adam Smith, *The Book of the Twelve Prophets Commonly Called the Minor*, 2:79-80.

⁴Richard D. Patterson, *Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah*, pp. 7-8.

UNITY

Some scholars have tried to prove that someone other than Nahum wrote sections of the book (1:1; 1:1—2:3; 1:2-10; 2:4—3:19), but their arguments are largely speculative. Jewish and Christian authorities have long held that Nahum was responsible for the whole work.

"Every one of the forty-seven verses of this short prophecy has been attacked by higher critics as being spurious. Contemporary critical scholarship tends to hold that at least one-third of the material was written by someone other than Nahum."¹

The canonicity of Nahum has never been seriously challenged, and the Hebrew text has been well preserved.

DATE

Nahum mentioned the fall of the Egyptian city of Thebes (3:8), so we know he wrote after that event, which took place in 663 B.C. The Assyrian king Ashurbanipal conquered it. The prophet predicted the fall of the Assyrian capital, Nineveh, which happened in 612 B.C., so he must have written this book between 663 and 612 B.C. (Josephus wrote that Nineveh fell 115 years after Nahum's preaching.² But that seems incorrect.) Evidently, Nineveh fell to the Medes.³

"There is some ambiguity in the Babylonian and later descriptions of the fall of Nineveh (Zawadzki 1988), but it appears to have been the Medes who actually destroyed the city. Indeed, the Babylonians were very careful in their records to distance themselves from the general looting of the city and especially the temples of this great city. However, it is clear that the Medes were either uninterested or unable to

¹Ibid., p. 11.

²Flavius Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 9:11:3.

³See any good Bible dictionary or encyclopedia for the history of Nineveh.

keep the city for a permanent possession, and it fell to their allies, the Babylonians, to possess it."¹

There is some evidence that points to Nahum writing shortly after Thebes fell. First, Nahum's description of Nineveh (1:12; 3:1, 4, 16) does not fit the city as it existed between 626 and 612 B.C. when Ashurbanipal's sons, Ashur-etil-ilani (626-623 B.C.) and Sin-shar-ishkun (623-612 B.C.), ruled over it. Second, the Southern Kingdom of Judah was under the yoke of Assyria when Nahum wrote (1:13, 15; 2:1, 3), a condition that marked the reign of Manasseh (697-642 B.C.) more than that of Josiah (640-609 B.C.). Third, if Nahum wrote after 654 B.C., his rhetorical question in 3:8 would have had little or no force since Thebes rose to power again in that year.²

Thus a date of composition between 663 and 654 B.C. seems most likely. This means he probably ministered during the reign of wicked King Manasseh of Judah (697-642 B.C.). A. C. Gaebelein dated the writing of the book to the reign of King Hezekiah (715-686 B.C.).³ George Robinson believed Nahum ministered not long after 650 B.C.⁴ Leon Wood dated Nahum a bit later, namely, about 630 B.C., during the reign of good King Josiah (640-609 B.C.).⁵ Palmer Robertson believed that Nahum ministered during the latter days of Manasseh, or during the early days of Josiah (ca. 650-622 B.C.).⁶

Nahum and Zephaniah both prophesied after Isaiah and Micah finished prophesying. Isaiah prophesied between about 740-680 B.C. Nahum may have prophesied from about 660-650 B.C. Zephaniah probably prophesied between 640 and 612 B.C. Jonah, Hosea (both from Israel), and Amos, Isaiah, and Micah (from Judah) were all eighth-century B.C. prophets. Nahum, Zephaniah, Habakkuk, and Jeremiah were all seventh-century B.C. prophets. The dating of Obadiah and Joel is debatable.

¹Tremper Longman III and Raymond B. Dillard, *An Introduction to the Old Testament*, p. 459. Their reference is to S. Zawadzki, *The Fall of Assyria and Median-Babylonian Relations in Light of the Nabopolassar Chronicle*. See also Jack Finegan, *Light from the Ancient Past*, pp. 218-20.

²Maier, pp. 30, 34-37.

³Gaebelein, 2:3:195.

⁴Robinson, p. 109.

⁵Leon Wood, *The Prophets of Israel*, p. 316.

⁶O. Palmer Robertson, *The Books of Nahum, Habakkuk, and Zephaniah*, pp. 31, 57.

PLACE OF COMPOSITION

No one knows for sure where Nahum was when he wrote the book, and our lack of knowledge of his hometown complicates the task of discovering the place of composition. However, traditionally Nahum lived and ministered in Judah, so most conservative scholars assume he wrote somewhere in that kingdom.

AUDIENCE AND PURPOSE

Nahum was a Jewish prophet and wrote primarily for the Jewish people. While the main subject of his prophesying was Nineveh, his message was for the Jews. Similarly, Jonah wrote about Nineveh and Obadiah wrote only of Edom, but they also wrote for the Jews. Both Nahum and Obadiah probably served as preaching prophets in Judah, as well as writing prophets, as Jonah did in Israel.

"The prophecy of Nahum is both the complement and the counterpart of the book of Jonah."¹

"Nahum's prophecy was the complement to Jonah, for whereas Jonah celebrated God's mercy, Nahum marked the relentless march of the judgment of God against all sinners world-wide."²

"Earlier, Jonah had brought a message to Nineveh which revealed the love of God, and now the message of the Book of Nahum reveals the justice of God—the two go together."³

This book claims to be an oracle (1:1, an uplifting and or threatening prophecy). While most of the book threatens Nineveh with destruction, there are also words of comfort for the people of Judah (1:12, 15; 2:2). Nahum revealed that Yahweh would destroy Nineveh as punishment for the Assyrians' cruelty to many nations, including the Northern Kingdom of Israel, in 722 B.C., and Judah. This was a comforting message for the remaining Jews who were presently living under Assyria's shadow in Judah.

¹E. B. Pusey, *The Minor Prophets*, 2:105.

²Walter C. Kaiser Jr., *Toward an Old Testament Theology*, p. 221.

³J. Vernon McGee, *Thru the Bible with J. Vernon McGee*, 3:815.

Assyria had destroyed many Judean cities, and had even besieged Jerusalem, unsuccessfully, in 701 B.C. The purpose of Nahum's book, then, was to announce Nineveh's fall and thereby comfort the Judean Jews with the assurance that their God was indeed sovereign and just.

"God is a just governor of the nations who will punish wicked Nineveh and restore His own people."¹

"Even though God has chosen Assyria to act as his instrument of punishment against the rebellious and recalcitrant Israel (Is. 7:17; 10:5-6), he holds that nation corporately responsible for the excesses and atrocities committed in fulfilling this role (Is. 10:7-19; cf. Zp. 2:14-15)."²

"Note the fact that Nahum scarcely mentions his own nation. The reason for this is clear. He does not exult in Nineveh's downfall merely for Judah's sake, or for his own. Nineveh had sold whole peoples by her whoredoms and witchcrafts. Nahum voices the outraged conscience of mankind."³

"But, amid this mass of evil, one [evil] was eminent, in direct antagonism to God. The character is very peculiar. It is not simply of rebellion against God, or neglect of Him. It is a direct disputing of His Sovereignty. The prophet twice repeats the characteristic expression, What will ye devise so vehemently against the Lord? [1:9] devising evil against the Lord [1:11]; and adds, counselor of evil. This was exactly the character of Sennacherib, ... who blasphemously compared God to the local deities of the countries, which his forefathers or himself had destroyed [Isa. 36:18-20; 37:10-13]."⁴

LITERARY FORM

Nahum contains a prophecy of the future destruction of a city that did fall. Critics of the Bible, who do not believe that the prophets could have

¹Patterson, p. 53. See also Bruce K. Waltke, *An Old Testament Theology*, p. 840.

²David W. Baker, *Nahum, Habakkuk and Zephaniah*, p. 23.

³J. Sidlow Baxter, *Explore the Book*, 4:202.

⁴Pusey, 2:105-6.

possibly predicted the future, have tried to explain that what Nahum wrote, was a description of the fall of Nineveh after the fact. Some of them consider the book as a piece of liturgy written for the Israelites' annual "enthronement festival" in Jerusalem. This festival supposedly celebrated Yahweh's enthronement over His people, though there is no biblical evidence that it ever occurred. Other ancient Near Eastern nations conducted similar enthronement festivals. The Book of Nahum was, according to this view, a collection of writings of various literary types that an editor compiled to magnify Yahweh's greatness by reflecting on Nineveh's destruction.

While conservatives reject this low view of prophecy, it is obvious that the book does consist of several different types of literature, as do most of the other prophetic books. We believe that God guided Nahum to express the messages He gave him in a variety of ways using several different forms of expression.

"Nahum, unlike many prophecies that are based on the structure of an anthology (such as Micah), has a well-delineated literary form."¹

"The main body of the prophecy, which is introduced with the words 'This is what the LORD says,' exhibits a chiasmic structure:

- A Assyrian king taunted/Judah urged to celebrate (1:2-15)
- B Dramatic call to alarm (2:1-10)
- C Taunt (2:11-12)
- D Announcement of judgment (2:13)
- E Woe oracle (3:1-4)
- D' Announcement of judgment (3:5-7))
- C' Taunt (3:8-13)

¹Tremper Longman III, "Nahum," in *The Minor Prophets*, p. 769.

B' Dramatic call to alarm (3:14-17)

A' Assyrian king taunted as others celebrate (3:18-19)"¹

Nahum was a poet. He has been called "the poet laureate among the Minor Prophets."² He wrote in a very vivid and powerful style.

"Nahum was a great poet. His word pictures are superb, his rhetorical skill is beyond praise."³

"None of the minor prophets ... seem to equal Nahum in boldness, ardour and sublimity. His prophecy ... forms a regular and perfect poem: the exordium is not merely magnificent, it is truly majestic; the preparation for the destruction of Nineveh, and the description of its downfall and desolation, are expressed in the most vivid colours, and are bold and luminous in the highest degree."⁴

"Nahum's poetry is fine. Of all the prophets he is the one who in dignity and force approaches most nearly to Isaiah. His descriptions are singularly picturesque and vivid ...: his imagery is effective and striking ...; the thought is always expressed compactly; the parallelism is regular."⁵

"His reverence for the almighty, trust in divine justice and goodness, condemnation of national iniquity, positive conviction that God will keep His word—these are qualities of true greatness. Add to that Nahum's mighty intellect, his patriotism and courage, his rare, almost unequaled, gift of vivid presentation, and he indeed looms as one of those outstanding

¹Robert B. Chisholm Jr., *Handbook on the Prophets*, p. 428. See also Gordon H. Johnston, "A Rhetorical Analysis of the Book of Nahum," (Ph.D. dissertation, Dallas Theological Seminary, 1992) for a thorough analysis of the book's structure.

²Patterson, p. 10.

³J. A. Bewer, *The Literature of the Old Testament*, p. 147.

⁴Robert Lowth, *Lectures on the Sacred Poetry of the Hebrews*, pp. 239-40.

⁵S. R. Driver, *An Introduction to the Literature of the Bible*, p. 336. Cf. Keil, 2:7; Robinson, p. 113.

figures in human history who have appeared only at rare intervals."¹

The "Minor Prophets" were minor only in word count, compared with the longer "Major Prophets," not in their literary quality or theological relevance.

OUTLINE

- I. Heading 1:1
- II. Nineveh's destruction declared 1:2-14
 - A. The anger and goodness of Yahweh 1:2-8
 - B. Yahweh's plans for Nineveh and Judah 1:9-14
 - 1. The consumption of Nineveh 1:9-11
 - 2. The liberation of Judah 1:12-13
 - 3. The termination of Nineveh 1:14
- III. Nineveh's destruction described 1:15—3:19
 - A. The sovereign justice of Yahweh 1:15—2:2
 - B. Four descriptions of Nineveh's fall 2:3—3:19
 - 1. The first description of Nineveh's fall 2:3-7
 - 2. The second description of Nineveh's fall 2:8-13
 - 3. The third description of Nineveh's fall 3:1-7
 - 4. The fourth description of Nineveh's fall 3:8-19

MESSAGE

The story that Nahum told is a story of the utter and irrevocable destruction of a great city and a great people. Nahum told the story as prophecy, but what he predicted is now history. Nahum lived when Assyria was threatening Judah's existence. The prophet predicted that God would destroy the proud and cruel capital of the Assyrian Empire: Nineveh. So thorough was Nineveh's destruction that for centuries travelers passed

¹Maier, p. 20. See also J. S. Cochrane, "Literary Features of Nahum" (Th.M. thesis, Dallas Theological Seminary, 1954), pp. 6-7.

over its ruins without knowing that this mighty and terrible city lay buried beneath their feet. Only in fairly modern times (1842, to be exact) have archaeologists laid bare its ruins.¹ Such was the literal and complete fulfillment of Nahum's prophecy.

The message of Nahum is quite compact. It is clear in statement, logical in argument, and definite in its declarations. In form, it is a vision, a vision of Yahweh, of Yahweh's anger, and of Yahweh acting in anger. Its permanent value is its unique picture of the wrath of God. The prophet begins the revelation of his vision by painting an angry Yahweh (1:2).² This is not an aspect of God's character that is popular in our day, but it is one that is prominent throughout the Bible.

Notice first the prophet's vision of God. All the prophets were impressed with a characteristic of God that shaped their prophecies. Isaiah saw God's holiness. Jeremiah saw God's judgment. Ezekiel saw God's glory. Micah saw God's leadership. And Nahum saw God's wrath.

Nahum used four words to describe God's *anger* that we could translate as "furious," "avenging," "wrathful," and "angry." They all occur in a very brief passage, 1:2-3, heightening the solemnity of Yahweh's anger. The Hebrew word that I have translated "furious" presupposes love and expresses an emotional, subjective action. God's *jealousy* is not self-centered or petty, but instead it expresses His zealous concern for the welfare of those He loves. "Avenging," which occurs three times in these two verses, does not mean taking revenge, but rather the executing of retribution: paying back to someone what that one deserves. It expresses a volitional action, an objective rather than a subjective response.

"Wrathful" suggests a change in God's attitude. The word comes from a root meaning to cross over, and it was used to describe the Israelites crossing the Jordan River. This word suggests the idea that God crossed over from His typical attitudes of tenderness and compassion to an unusual attitude, for Him, of judgment. "Angry" has the idea of being flushed with anger, red in the face, if you will. It occurs in the dual form in Hebrew, suggesting the two nostrils that flare when one gets angry. So Nahum used

¹See Finegan, p. 211.

²See the Appendix "The Impassibility of God" at the end of these notes for a discussion of if and how human actions affect God.

four words for anger, and one of them three times, for a total of six expressions of God's anger, in these two verses alone.

Four Hebrew words that describe anger occur in this short description of Yahweh in 1:2-3. The total impression Nahum wanted to create was that of a very, very angry God. This was not, however, just a piece of rhetoric in which an extremely agitated prophet projected onto God feelings that were in his own heart. It is a careful and remarkable description of the character of God.

As the revelation unfolds, we move from a threefold description of the anger of God to an exposition of that threefold description. The name "Yahweh" appears three times in verse 2, and then it appears three more times in the next six verses. In verse 2, we have the proclamation describing what God is like. Then, in verses 3-8, we have the explanation. Consider first Nahum's proclamation concerning the character of God.

Yahweh is jealous and avenging (1:2a). The order of these aspects of God's anger indicates that His passion precedes His action. The second proclamation is that He is avenging and wrathful (1:2b). Here the order is reversed; God's action grows out of His passion. The third proclamation, that Yahweh takes vengeance on His adversaries and reserves wrath for His enemies (1:2c), reveals that God directs His passion and action discriminately, not carelessly or capriciously.

This is a very important revelation of God's anger, because it is the reverse of what usually characterizes human anger. People are often controlled by their anger, but God controls His anger. God's passion leads to action, but only against those whom God chooses to make the objects of His wrath.

The explanation of God's anger follows in verses 3-8. Verse 3a explains that Yahweh is a jealous and avenging God (cf. v. 2a). His passion precedes His action. "He is slow to anger and great in power, and will by no means leave the guilty unpunished." Verses 3b-6 explain that Yahweh is avenging and wrathful (cf. v. 2b). His action grows out of His passion. Verses 7-8 explain that Yahweh takes vengeance on His adversaries and reserves wrath for His enemies (cf. v. 2c). His anger is discriminating.

Often human anger is out of control. Anyone near it gets hurt, not just the object of one's anger. Human anger often results in other mistakes that the angry person makes: the fallout of his anger. That is never true of God's

anger. He is slow to anger; He never "explodes" or "loses His temper." His anger is measured; He is never out of control. His anger is focused on the particular object or objects of His wrath. Innocent people never suffer because of His anger. He never makes mistakes because He is angry. He is always in full control of Himself and of everything that happens when He is angry.

We turn now from Nahum's vision of the anger of God to his vision of the vengeance of God. Nahum revealed: *why* God acts in vengeance—the *reason* for divine judgment; *when* God acts in vengeance—the *time* of divine judgment; and *how* God acts in vengeance—the *method* of divine judgment.

Why does God act in judgment? According to Nahum, there is a God-ward reason and a man-ward reason. In 1:11 we have the sin against God: pride. This was the fundamental sin of the Assyrians against God. We see it clearly in Sennacherib's invasion of Jerusalem (cf. Isa. 36). Pride, expressed in rebellion against God's sovereign control over His creation, was one of Nineveh's greatest sins. The other sin, for which God judges, is man-ward: cruelty. We see this in 3:1-4. The Assyrians were notorious for their oppression and cruelty toward their fellow men.¹ These were the two great sins of Assyria—God-ward and man-ward—and they are the primary reason God gets angry and acts in judgment. It is interesting that these two sins almost always go together, as they did in Assyria.

Where there is pride against God, there is usually cruelty toward other people. Jesus taught that the two greatest commandments were to love God wholeheartedly and to love our neighbors as ourselves (Matt. 22:37-39). When people do this, they are not proud or cruel. Why does God judge? He judges to punish pride and to protect people.

The second question about the judgment of God that Nahum answered was: *When* does God judge? What is the timing by which God judges? Again, we can look at the answer to this question from two viewpoints: God's and man's. God judges after He exercises long patience. A hundred years earlier, God had sent Jonah with a message of repentance to Nineveh. The people had repented, and God had relented. But then the people repented of their repentance.

¹See Finegan, pp. 202-203; Erika Bleibleru, "Grisly Assyrian Record of Torture and Death," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 17:1 (January/February 1991):52-61, 75.

By now they had returned to their former pride and cruelty. After long waiting, God was about to avenge. From the human viewpoint, God judges when sin has become exceedingly sinful. He waits for people to repent, but if they do not, He steps in to judge (3:18-19; cf. Gen. 15:16; 1 Cor. 11:31; 2 Pet. 3:9).

How does God act in vengeance? The answer reveals God's method. He used natural and supernatural forces to destroy Nineveh. The Babylonians invaded the city through a breach in the wall that the flooding Tigris River opened up. God supernaturally controlled weather conditions so that the walls gave way. He then led human soldiers to storm through that opening and take the city.

We turn now to the abiding message of this book, for our own age and for every age.

One aspect of the message of Nahum is what it says about God. Nahum teaches the reader that to believe in God's love is to be sure of His wrath. If God is never angry, He does not really love. His anger grows out of His love. Can you look at sin, pride, oppression, and cruelty and not be moved? Then you do not love. Do you not care that Christians are being persecuted for their faith and are being killed daily in over 50 countries in the world? Do you not care that pride is keeping people from acknowledging their need for God in your country? Do you not care that women are being abused and children neglected by fathers who are so selfish that they think only of their own pleasures? If not, you are incapable of love.

Therefore, if God cannot burn with hatred toward sin, then He is a God incapable of love. To believe in His love is to be sure of His wrath.

Henry Ward Beecher, the famous preacher said, "A person who doesn't know how to be angry doesn't know how to be good." Thomas Fuller wrote, "Anger is one of the sinews of the soul. He who lacks it has a maimed mind."¹

A corollary to this revelation is another truth about God that Nahum reveals: God's love always interprets His wrath. Whenever we observe some instance of God's vengeance, we must remember that it springs from His love. We cannot always make the connection, and we may not be able to

¹Cited by Warren W. Wiersbe, "Nahum," in *The Bible Exposition Commentary/Prophets*, p. 407.

explain the connection to ourselves and others, but there is a connection. God's vengeance proves the depth of His love. Parents who love their children discipline them. Likewise, God disciplines because He loves.

The message of this book also concerns people. One sin against which God acts in vengeance is pride, which says: "I don't need Him. I am sufficient in myself. I am greater than others." If people persist in this sin throughout their lives and refuse to bow the knee to God, they will experience His eternal wrath. If believers lift themselves up in pride, God will bring them down in His hot anger.

Another sin that God judges is cruelty toward our fellowman. Fascination with violence reflects both pride and cruelty. Pride and cruelty are even worse, when, after people have turned from these sins in repentance, and then *repented of their repentance*, they return to practice them again with greater zeal than ever. These were the great sins of the ancient Assyrians, and they are the sins of modern man.

There is a message of hope in Nahum as well. It is the revelation that God's wrath is discriminating. God is absolutely just. He will not punish the innocent with the guilty (cf. Gen. 18:23-32). He will not lose control when He judges. Nahum 1:7 reminds us that "Yahweh is good, a stronghold in the day of trouble, and He knows those who take refuge in Him." The Book of Nahum was an encouragement to the Israelites, and God intended it to encourage all of His people.

I would express the message of the book this way: God's discriminating anger and vengeance against pride and cruelty arise from His great love for people.¹


¹Adapted from G. Campbell Morgan, *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*, 1:2:257-71

Exposition

I. HEADING 1:1

The writer introduced this book as an "oracle of (concerning) Nineveh." An oracle is a message from Yahweh that usually announces judgment. It is sometimes called a "burden," because it frequently contained a message that lay heavy on the prophet's heart, and came across as a "heavy" message. In this case, it is a "war-oracle."¹ This book records "the vision" that "Nahum the Elkoshite" received from the Lord.

"Having been founded by Nimrod (Gen. 10:8-12), Nineveh had a long history. It was located on the east bank of the Tigris River, which formed the western and southern boundaries of the city. A wall extended for eight miles around the northern and eastern boundaries. The section of the city within the walls was nearly three miles in diameter at its greatest width, and it held a population that has been estimated to have been as high as 150,000. The three days' walk required to traverse Nineveh (... Jon. 3:3) is no exaggeration."²



¹Longman, pp. 771, 786.

²Charles H. Dyer, in *The Old Testament Explorer*, p. 796.

As noted above, the location of "Elkosh" is presently uncertain. The two most likely general locations are Mesopotamia or Canaan. I tend to think that Elkosh was in Judah, since all the other Old Testament prophets were from Canaan, and Nahum prophesied during the history of the surviving kingdom of Judah (ca. 650 B.C.).

Nahum evidently used "Nineveh," the capital of the Assyrian Empire, to stand for the whole empire in some places, as well as for the city in others. In some texts, the city is definitely in view, as is obvious from the fulfillment of the prophecy, but in others, all of Assyria seems to be in view. It is common, especially in prophetic and poetical parts of the Old Testament, for the writers to use the names of prominent cities to represent their countries. The most frequent example is the use of Jerusalem in place of Judah or even all Israel. This is an example of the common figure of speech called metonymy, in which a writer uses the name of one thing for that of another associated with or suggested by it.

"If we were to examine closely the different characters of the nations who have been connected with the people of God, we should perhaps find in each a specific form of evil pretty clearly delineated. At all events it is so in the principal enemies of that people. Egypt, Babylon and Nineveh are prominently marked by that which they morally represent. Egypt is the world in its natural condition, whence the people have come forth. Babylon is corruption in the activity of power, by which the people are enslaved. Nineveh is the haughty glory of the world, which recognizes nothing but its own importance—the world, the open enemy of God's people, simply by its pride."¹

II. NINEVEH'S DESTRUCTION DECLARED 1:2-14

The rest of chapter 1 declares Nineveh's destruction in rather hymnic style, and chapters 2 and 3 describe its destruction. Each of these major parts of the book opens with a revelation of Yahweh.

¹J. N. Darby, *Synopsis of the Books of the Bible*, 2:579.

A. THE ANGER AND GOODNESS OF YAHWEH 1:2-8

"The opening verses of Nahum form a prologue dominated by the revelation of God's eternal power and divine nature in creation (cf. Rom 1:20). As in Romans 1:18-32, this revelation is characterized preeminently by God's justice, expressed in retribution (v. 2) and wrath (vv. 2-3, 6) that shake the entire creation (vv. 3-6)."¹

Armerding made much of the similarities between this section and the Exodus event, God's self-revelation at Mt. Sinai, His appearance to Elijah at Mt. Horeb, and parallels in Isaiah.

"The seventh-century minor prophets focused on the justice of God as exhibited in powerful judgment on an international scale."²

"In the Book of Psalms there are three types of Divine Warrior hymns: those sung before a battle, calling on God's aid (Ps. 7); those sung during a battle, focusing on the Lord's protection (Ps. 92); and those celebrating the victory God has won for his people (Ps. 98). Nahum 1:2-8 bears a remarkable similarity to the last type of psalm, the original function of which was to sing the praises of Israel's Warrior God in the aftermath of a victory. What is significant, then, is the placement of Nahum's Divine Warrior hymn. The victory is celebrated before the battle is actually waged. The victory of God against Nineveh is certain. So much so, that the prophet could utter the victory shout years before the battle [cf. Rev. 5:9]."³

1:2 Nahum drew a picture of Yahweh as a God who is "jealous" for His chosen people (cf. Exod. 20:5; 34:14; Deut. 4:24; 5:9). That is, He greatly desires their welfare (cf. Num. 25:11; Deut. 6:15; Isa. 59:17; Ezek. 5:13; 38:18-19).

¹Carl E. Armerding, "Nahum," in *Daniel-Malachi*, vol. 7 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, p. 460.

²Robert B. Chisholm Jr., "A Theology of the Minor Prophets," in *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, p. 413.

³Longman, p. 788.

"Jealousy in essence is an intolerance of rivals. It can be a virtue or a sin depending on the legitimacy of the rival."¹

Yahweh is also an "avenging" God who "takes vengeance" on all who violate His standards of righteousness (what is right), though not with human vindictiveness. Third, He is full of "wrath" against those who oppose Him and disregard His grace, those who set themselves up as His "adversaries" and "enemies" (cf. Deut. 32:35, 41).

"It is the same God who super-rules the world today. He is not one whit less severe than He was in Old testament times, and He is not one whit more compassionate. He is just as uncompromising toward sin, just as compassionate toward the penitent, the same from age to age. The idea that the Gospel of Christ somehow tones down the severity in the Divine character is wrong. Certainly, the Gospel is the supreme *expression* of the Divine graciousness; but it does not in the slightest degree modify the inflexible principles of righteousness by which God governs nations. God has always been gracious. God has always been intolerant of wickedness. He is the same today."²

The repetition of "avenging," "vengeance," and "wrathful" in this verse creates a strong impression of an angry God (cf. Josh. 7:1; Judg. 3:8; 10:7; et al.). The word "wrath" (Heb. *hemah*) means "to be hot" and describes burning rage and intense fury. Why was God so angry? The rest of the oracle explains that it was the behavior of the Ninevites that had aroused His anger.

This is an unusual collection of anthropopathic descriptions of God (i.e., God described as having human feelings). Some students of Scripture have denied that God feels anything, and

¹Ralph L. Smith, *Micah-Malachi*, p. 73.

²Baxter, 4:199.

that descriptions of Him, like this one, only present God as exhibiting the appearance of anger. One example of this view follows.

"Now the mode of accommodation is for him to represent himself to us not as he is in himself, but as he seems to us. Although he is beyond all disturbance of mind, yet he testifies that he is angry toward sinners. Therefore whenever we hear that God is angered, we ought not to imagine any emotion in him, but rather to consider that this expression has been taken from our own human experience; because God, whenever he is exercising judgment, exhibits the appearance of one kindled and angered."¹

I find this explanation unsatisfying, because it robs God of emotion, which is an essential component of personality. Significantly, Calvin excluded emotion from the faculties of the soul, believing that the soul consists only of understanding and will.² I believe that God does feel anger, as well as love and every other emotion, and that emotions are part of the image of God in man.

This is the first of several rhetorical allusions to uniquely Neo-Assyrian conquest metaphors in the book. The figure of a destroyer of mountains and seas continues through verse 6, and the figure of the self-predicating warrior extends through verse 8. Other metaphors are the raging storm and the overwhelming dust cloud in verse 3, the overwhelming flood and the uninhabitable ruin in verse 8, and the Assyrian yoke in verses 12-13. The metaphor of the mighty weapon appears in 2:1, and that of the consuming locust swarm in 3:16-17.³

"Verse 2 lays a foundation for the entire prophecy: all that follows is rooted in this

¹John Calvin, *Institutes of the Christian Religion*, 1:17:13.

²*Ibid.*, 1:15:7.

³See Gordon H. Johnston, "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to Neo-Assyrian Conquest Metaphors," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:633 (January-March 2002):21-45.

revelation of the justice and burning zeal of the Lord exercised on behalf of his people."¹

1:3 However, Yahweh was not out of control in His anger. His "anger" was "slow" in coming to the boiling point (cf. Exod. 34:6; Num. 14:18; Jon. 4:2). He waited as long as possible to pour out His judgment (cf. 2 Pet. 3:9). About a century before Nahum prophesied, God sent Jonah to warn the Ninevites. This is an evidence of His being slow to anger. God's patience accounts for His allowing the Assyrians to abuse the Israelites for such a long time.

Patience is sometimes a sign of weakness in people, but not so with the Lord. He is also "great in power," which makes the prospect of His releasing His anger terrifying (cf. Deut. 8:17-18). He will not pass over any guilty person and leave him or her unpunished, but will bring them to judgment eventually.

"God can be long-suffering, because He can, whenever He sees good [appropriate], punish. His long-suffering is a token, not of weakness, but of power."²

Whirlwinds and *storms* (thunder, lightning, hail, tornadoes, hurricanes, etc.) manifest this angry aspect of God's character and His power (cf. Job 9:17). God is so great that the clouds are for Him what the dust on the ground is for humans (cf. 2 Sam. 22:10; Ps. 18:9). The great "clouds" overhead are "like dust" particles to the great God who resides in the heavens.

"In verse 3 Nahum puts down a great principle by which God not only judged Assyria (and Nineveh, the capital, in particular), but also the way that God judges the world and will judge the world in the future."³

Verses 2 and 3 repeat "Yahweh" five times. This literary device has the effect of underlining the identity of Israel's covenant

¹Chisholm, "A Theology ...," p. 462. Cf. Maier, p. 152.

²Pusey, 2:131.

³McGee, 3:817.

God. There should be no mistake whom Nahum was describing, even though he drew attention to characteristics of the Lord that were not the ones that His people liked to think about. Nahum frequently used Yahweh's name throughout the book.

- 1:4 A simple word from Yahweh can cause the humanly uncontrollable "sea" and the "rivers" to "dry" up. The Lord had demonstrated this power when He parted the Red Sea and stopped the Jordan River from flowing (Exod. 14:21; Josh. 3:16). It can make "Bashan," "Carmel," and "Lebanon," which were normally lush, productive regions—wither away. The Lord had likewise sent many droughts on various other parts of Canaan, in order to encourage His people to return to Him (cf. 1 Kings 17—18). It is heat that causes bodies of water to dry up and bodies of land to wither away, but it is the heat of God's wrath in judgment that is sometimes behind this physical heat.
- 1:5 Yahweh produces earthquakes and landslides, other evidences of His awesome power. "Mountains" are the most stable physical features on this planet, yet God can move them. Mt. Sinai quaked when God revealed Himself there (Exod. 19:18). "His" very "presence" can cause the entire "earth" and "all" its "inhabitants" to convulse and upheave. The vast Assyrian Empire, therefore, was not too much for Him to overthrow.
- 1:6 No one can continue to exist if Yahweh is indignant with him or her. Nahum did not mean that the final destiny of God's enemies is annihilation. He meant that no one can survive His unchecked wrath. The Hebrew word translated "indignant," *za'am*, means to be enraged, like boiling water. *No one* "can endure" Yahweh's "burning ... anger." Nahum made these points strongly by using two rhetorical questions.

"Unlike a regular question, which is soliciting information, a rhetorical question assumes the answer is already known by both the asker and the asked. Instead of the statement which could have been used in its place, the rhetorical question forces the hearer to get actively involved in the discussion. ... The technique is used elsewhere in

Nahum (2:11; 3:7-8) and in other prophetic texts."¹

The Assyrians should have learned this truth when God destroyed their army, as it surrounded Jerusalem, in one night (2 Kings 18—19). Yahweh's "wrath" pours out "like fire," and then even solid "rocks" break up (cf. 1 Kings 19:11). How much less will human flesh and manmade walls stand against His anger!

1:7 In contrast, Yahweh is also "good," not just angry and vengeful (cf. Rom. 11:22). He Himself is a more secure hiding place than any mountain, hill, or great city, like Nineveh, when people face trouble (cf. Ps. 27:1; 37:39; 43:2; 52:7). Furthermore, "He knows (so as to save) those who take refuge in Him" by drawing near to Him and resting their confidence in Him. He takes note of those who trust in Him—as well as those who incur His wrath. Whereas the previous revelations of God reflect His imminent dealings with the Assyrians, this aspect of His character (name) should have encouraged the Israelites to trust and obey Him.

1:8 Nahum returned to the wrathful aspect of God's character because that was the focus of his oracle. Without identifying Nineveh, the prophet described Yahweh destroying it totally and permanently, as with a tidal wave ("overflowing flood"). Johnston showed that Nahum's maledictions are unique among the prophets, and probably imitate the Neo-Assyrian treaty curses, which were unusually brutal in the ancient Near East.²

Nahum was probably describing an unrestrained army invasion (cf. Isa. 8:7-8; Jer. 47:2; Dan. 9:26; 11:40). Remarkably, when her enemies overthrew Nineveh, its rivers overflowed and washed away part of Nineveh's walls—a parallel and literal fulfillment of this prophecy!³

¹Baker, p. 29.

²Gordon H. Johnston, "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to Neo-Assyrian Treaty Curses," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 158:632 (October-December 2001):415-36.

³*The New Bible Dictionary*, s.v. "Nineveh," by D. J. Wiseman, pp. 888-90.

"Although these words were written in the heyday of Assyrian power, their forecast of the city's entire, permanent extinction was fulfilled to the letter."¹

Using another figure, Yahweh said He would pursue His enemies until He caught up with them and killed them, even if it took all night. Normally battles ceased at nightfall and resumed at daybreak because fighting became so difficult at night. But the Lord would not let night stop Him from pursuing and slaying His enemies. They would not escape from Him simply because time passed.

"Darkness" also has the metaphorical connotation of evil, spiritual lostness, and eternal judgment (e.g., Job 17:13; Ps. 82:5; 88:12; Prov. 4:19; 20:20; Isa. 8:22; 42:7; Jer. 23:12; Matt. 4:16; 8:12; John 3:19; Col. 1:13; 1 Pet. 2:9; Jude 6; Rev. 16:10).

The Lord is angry with those who abuse others, especially those who abuse His people, and He will punish them. This section stresses the justice, power, and goodness of Yahweh.

"We must keep in mind that the message of Nahum is not concretely applied to Assyria and Judah until later in the book. The psalm that occurs at the beginning of the book [1:2-8] presents a picture of God applicable for all times—he is the Warrior who judges evil."²

The first eight verses of Nahum are a partial acrostic.

"If an entire acrostic conveys completeness, half an acrostic may well be a prophetic way of indicating completeness with

¹Maier, p. 180.

²Longman, p. 776. See idem, "The Divine Warrior: The New Testament Use of an Old Testament Motif," *Westminster Theological Journal* 44 (1982):290-307; and Kevin J. Cathcart, "The Divine Warrior and the War of Yahweh in Nahum," in *Biblical Studies in Contemporary Thought: The Tenth Anniversary Commemorative Volume of the Trinity College Biblical Institute 1966-1975*, pp. 68-76.

still more to come. Assyria faces imminent judgment, but only half of what is eventually in store for her."¹

B. YAHWEH'S PLANS FOR NINEVEH AND JUDAH 1:9-14

Whereas the previous section assured Nineveh's doom, the primary focus of attention in it was the character of Yahweh and His ability to destroy His enemies. Now the focus shifts more directly to Nineveh. Three sections reveal Yahweh's plans for Nineveh (vv. 1-11, 14) and Judah (vv. 12-13) in chiasmic form.

1. The consumption of Nineveh 1:9-11

- 1:9 Yahweh will frustrate and destroy all attempts to thwart His will. Even though they may appear to succeed at first, they will not endure. Sennacherib, the king of Assyria, had besieged Jerusalem once (1 Kings 18), but the Assyrians never did so a second time. Their plan to oppose God's people was actually opposition against *God Himself*, and He did not permit it to succeed. Once Nineveh fell, it was never rebuilt.²
- 1:10 "Tangled (Heb. *sebukim*) ... thorns" are tough to penetrate, but they are no match for fire. Likewise the Ninevites, as confused as they would be when their city was under attack, would be no match for the consuming fire of Yahweh's wrath (cf. v. 6). Many of the Ninevites were confused because they were drunk (Heb. *sebu'im*). Yahweh would destroy them as easily and quickly as fire burned up the dead stalks left in fields after harvest.
- 1:11 Since the Lord will destroy any plot against Him and His people (v. 9), the Assyrians were in trouble. "One of the Assyrians" had gone forth "who plotted evil" against Him. This is probably

¹Duane L. Christensen, "The Acrostic of Nahum Reconsidered," *Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 87 (1975):25. See G. Buchanan Gray, *The Forms of Hebrew Poetry*, pp. 243-63, for a technical discussion of this poem.

²*The New Bible ...*, s.v. "Nineveh."

a reference to Sennacherib.¹ He was "wicked" and worthless because He had opposed Yahweh (cf. 2 Kings 18).

2. The liberation of Judah 1:12-13

Emphasis now shifts from Assyria to Judah.

"In the form of an oracle (v. 12, This is what the Lord says) to two parties in a legal dispute, God pronounces his verdicts alternately to Judah, for her acquittal and hope (vv. 12-13, 15; 2:2), and to Assyria, for her destruction (v. 14; 2:1)."²

1:12 Yahweh declared that, even though the Assyrians were powerful and numerous, He would "cut" them "off," and they would pass off the stage of history. This must have been hard for many Israelites to believe, since the Assyrians had been their dreaded enemy for centuries. Even though the Lord had "afflicted" the Israelites, He would "afflict" them "no longer." Evidently He meant that He would not afflict them *with the Assyrians* any longer, since other nations *did afflict* them after Assyria passed off the scene.

This is the only place in the prophecy where, "Thus says the LORD," occurs, guaranteeing that what He said would definitely happen. This verse is the clearest indication that Nahum ministered before the decline of Assyria as a military and political state.³

"In the context the expression 'quiet, and likewise many,' [AV; 'at full *strength*, and likewise many' NASB; 'unscathed and numerous' NIV] although a literal translation of the Hebrew, does not seem to make much sense. Actually the Hebrew here represents a transliteration of a long-forgotten Assyrian legal formula. Excavation in the ruins of ancient Nineveh, buried since 612 B.C., has brought to light thousands of ancient Assyrian

¹See Maier, p. 196.

²Baker, p. 32.

³Longman, "Nahum," p. 798.

tablets, dozens of which contain this Assyrian legal formula. It proves, on investigation, to indicate joint and several responsibility for carrying out an obligation. Nahum quotes the LORD as using this Assyrian formula in speaking to the Assyrians, saying in effect, 'Even though your entire nation joins as one person to resist me, nevertheless I shall overcome you.' As the words would have been equally incomprehensible to the later Hebrew copyists, their retention is striking evidence of the care of the scribes in copying exactly what they found in the manuscripts, and testifies to God's providential preservation of the Biblical text."¹

1:13 The Lord promised to "break" Assyria's oppression of the Israelites, as when someone removed a "yoke" from the neck of an ox, or the chains ("shackles") that bound a prisoner. For years the Israelites had to endure Assyrian oppression—including invasion, occupation, and taxation (cf. 2 Kings 19:20-37; 2 Chron. 32:1-23; Isa. 37:27-38).

3. The termination of Nineveh 1:14

The subject reverts to Nineveh.

Yahweh had commanded His heavenly host to manage the world's affairs in such a way that Nineveh's "name" (or perhaps Nineveh's king's "name") would not continue forever. This does not mean that succeeding generations would be completely ignorant of Nineveh and its rulers. More is known about Assyrian literature than that of any other ancient Semitic people except the Hebrews.² But the residents, particularly the king, would have no surviving descendants (heirs).³

¹ *The New Scofield Reference Bible*, pp. 950-51.

² See Longman, "Nahum," p. 798.

³ For a chart of the historical fulfillments of Nahum's prophecies, see *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, p. 1495. Patterson, pp. 105-7, also catalogued some fulfillments.

"Nineveh's kings were constantly concerned that their names and their families be perpetuated."¹

The Lord also promised to destroy Nineveh's idols and remove them from their temples. The Assyrians often carried off the idols of the nations they conquered in order to demonstrate the superiority of *their* "gods" over those of the conquered, as did other ancient Near Eastern nations (cf. 1 Sam. 5).² The conquering Medes, however, despised idolatry and did away with multitudes of images that existed in Nineveh.³ Yahweh would "prepare" Nineveh's "grave," since He would likewise bury—metaphorically—the contemptible city. It was a great curse in the ancient Near East to have no descendants, and it was a great humiliation to have no gods, but both fates would befall Nineveh.

"In the ancient Near East tombs, often had attached to them curses calling upon the gods to punish anyone who might violate the sepulchre [*sic* sepulcher]. Thus the Lord's assertion that He would destroy the tomb of the Assyrian king shows His disdain for the gods responsible for protecting the grave."⁴

III. NINEVEH'S DESTRUCTION DESCRIBED 1:15—3:19

This second major part of Nahum contains another introduction and four descriptions of Nineveh's destruction. Having revealed general statements about Yahweh's judgment, Nahum next communicated more specific descriptions of Nineveh's demise. As in the previous section, he also gave promises of Israel's restoration.

"Nahum portrays [the] siege, reproduces its horrors and its savagery, its cruelties and mercilessness, in language so realistic that one is able to see it and feel it. First comes the fighting in the suburbs. Then the assault upon the walls. Then the capture of the city and its destruction."⁵

¹Maier, p. 211.

²Ibid., pp. 211-12.

³Charles Lee Feinberg, *Jonah Micah and Nahum*, p. 132.

⁴Robert B. Chisholm Jr., *Interpreting the Minor Prophets*, p. 173.

⁵Raymond Calkins, *The Modern Message of the Minor Prophets*, p. 82.

The section begins, as the first major one did (cf. 1:2-8), with an emphasis on Yahweh—who contrasts with the human destroyer of Nineveh: the "tyrant on the Tigris."¹ Humans can destroy, but it takes Yahweh to deliver. This section is also chiasitic, as was 1:9-14.

A. THE SOVEREIGN JUSTICE OF YAHWEH 1:15—2:2

1:15 This is the first verse of chapter 2 in the Hebrew Bible. It is a *janus*, a transition that looks back to what precedes and forward to what follows.

Nahum called his audience to give attention. Someone was coming over the "mountains" with a "good news" message of "peace." Consequently the people of Judah could "celebrate" their "feasts"; they had a future. They should "pay" their "vows" to the Lord, because He had answered their prayers.

The "wicked" Assyrians would "never again ... pass through" their land, as they had done in the past. The message was that they had been "cut off completely," like a piece of a garment, and so would be no threat in the future. The prophet spoke as if Nineveh had already fallen—and a messenger had just arrived with the news! The same statement appears in Isaiah 52:7, where the messenger announces the defeat of Babylon.

"So complete was its [Nineveh's] destruction that when Xenophon passed by the site about 200 years later, he thought the mounds were the ruins of some other city. And Alexander the Great, fighting in a battle nearby, did not realize that he was near the ruins of Nineveh."²

The Apostle Paul quoted the first part of this verse in Romans 10:15, in reference to those messengers who bring similar good news, namely: the gospel.

¹Maier, p. 217.

²Elliott E. Johnson, "Nahum," in *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, p. 1499. Cf. Keil, 2:48.

"The message is one of peace, a peace from external oppression and a new kind of peace with the God who is the giver of all life."¹

The expression "on the mountains the feet of him who brings good news" may allude to runners who ran along the mountain ridges lighting signal fires that announced good (or bad) news to the beholders.²

"You get a good course in hermeneutics (the methods of interpretation of Scripture) when you read the little Book of Nahum. Nahum tells you how to interpret the Word of God. He has already shown us that we are to take it literally whether we understand it or not. There is an explanation, and the trouble is not with the Word of God; the trouble is with us when we do not understand it."³

2:1 Nahum turned from addressing the people of Judah to the people of Nineveh. He used the Hebrew prophetic perfect tense, which predicts future events as though they were past, to heighten belief in their certainty. "One who scatters" would "come up against" Nineveh. "Scatterer" is a common figure for a victorious king (cf. Ps. 68:1; Isa. 24:1; Jer. 52:8).

Consequently, the Ninevites should "man" their "fortress," "watch the road" for the coming invader, and "strengthen" themselves. These measures would prove futile because the Lord would destroy the city. Nahum was speaking sarcastically. This section has been called "a taunt song."⁴

"Sennacherib had spent no less than six years building his armory, which occupied a terraced area of forty acres. It was enlarged further by Esarhaddon and contained all the weaponry required for the extension and maintenance of the Assyrian empire: bows, arrows, quivers, chariots,

¹Peter C. Craigie, *Twelve Prophets*, 2:67.

²Pusey, 2:138.

³McGee, 3:823.

⁴Longman, "Nahum," p. 801.

wagons, armor, horses, mules, and equipment (cf. Ezek 23:24; 39:9). The royal 'road' had been enlarged by Sennacherib to a breadth of seventy-eight feet, facilitating the movement of troops."¹

Even though the Ninevites did all these things, they could not escape overthrow. The "invader" proved to be Cyaxares the Mede and Nabopolassar the Babylonian.² However, the "scatterer" behind them was Yahweh.

2:2 Turning back to Judah again (1:15), the prophet repeated that Yahweh would restore Israel to its former glory. Whereas a destroyer would destroy Nineveh (v. 1), Yahweh would restore Judah. Its fate would be the opposite of Nineveh's. Nineveh presently enjoyed great glory, but would suffer destruction, while Israel, having experienced devastation, would become splendid again. "Israel" was the name connected with Israel's glory and honor, while "Jacob" recalls the perverse aspects of the nation's experience, reflecting its patriarch's names and life experience.³

The invading Assyrians doubtless destroyed many of Israel's grapevines, but "vine branches" also symbolized the Israelites (cf. Ps. 80:8-16; Isa. 5:1-7). The devastators of Israel had been Assyria, and later the Babylonians. The promise probably looks beyond Israel's restoration, after the Assyrians' devastation, to her restoration after all her devastations throughout history. This restoration will take place in the Millennium.

B. FOUR DESCRIPTIONS OF NINEVEH'S FALL 2:3—3:19

The rest of the book contains four descriptions of Nineveh's fall that were evidently messages that Nahum delivered at various times in Judah.

¹Armerding, p. 472.

²For an ancient account of the battles that resulted in Nineveh's fall, see D. Winton Thomas, ed., *Documents from Old Testament Times*, p. 76; or James B. Pritchard, ed., *Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, pp. 303-5.

³See Keil, 2:19.

1. The first description of Nineveh's fall 2:3-7

The first message sees the details of the siege of Nineveh taking place in the city when the enemy attacked, and it ends with the reaction of a segment of the populace (v. 7).

2:3 Nahum again focused on the destroyer (scatterer) of Nineveh (cf. v. 1). He described the siege and capture of Nineveh. "The shields" and uniforms of the soldiers who invaded Nineveh would be "red." This was, in fact, a favorite color of the Median and Babylonian armies (cf. Ezek. 23:14).¹ The warriors may have made them red with war paint, the color of blood, to express their fiery fierceness.² Or they may have been red with blood and or from the copper that they used to cover both shields and uniforms.³

"These images speak of blood, violence, and warfare. Isaiah refers to the custom the Assyrians had of rolling their outer garments in blood before a battle (see Is. 9:5) to strike terror in the hearts of their opponents. Here the tables would be turned. While others would have 'shields,' 'chariots,' and 'spears,' the people of Nineveh would be bathed in blood—their own blood."⁴

"The fighting dress of the nations of antiquity was frequently blood-red ..."⁵

Nahum saw the invading "chariots ... flashing" with "steel." Scythed chariots were in use at this time in the ancient Near East, chariots with steel blades protruding from them and their wheels.⁶ Spears made out of "cypress" (pine) were long and

¹Feinberg, p. 136.

²Pusey, 2:142.

³Keil, 2:20; Johnson, p. 1500; Robertson, p. 89.

⁴*The Nelson Study Bible*, p. 1516.

⁵Keil, 2:20.

⁶Feinberg, p. 136.

straight, and Nineveh's invaders would brandish them showing their readiness for battle.¹

2:4 The invaders' "chariots" would "race" through Nineveh's "streets" and "squares." So gleaming with red and steel would they be, that they would look like "torches" or "lightning" darting to and fro.² Since Nahum described the enemy advancing toward the city walls (v. 5), he may have seen these chariots darting through the suburban streets and squares outside the walls.³

"... if you have ever been in a museum which had some of the Assyrian relics, you have perhaps seen that on the chariot wheels, that is, on the hub of the wheels, there was a sharp blade. It was like a sword or a sickle, a very dangerous instrument which extended out from the wheel. The one driving the chariot would go up as close as he could get to the enemy, and this very sharp instrument would cut off the wooden wheel of the enemy's chariot. It would put a chariot out of business right away if you could cut off the wheel on one side. That is the jostling together that Nahum mentions here, and it hasn't anything to do with the automobile!"⁴

2:5 The Assyrian king would call on his "nobles" to defend the city, but they would "stumble" in their haste to do so. They would "hurry" to Nineveh's walls to set up some type of protective shield ("mantelet") to deflect the attackers' arrows, spears, and stones.⁵

2:6 The Tigris River flowed close to the walls of Nineveh, and two of its tributaries, the Khosr and the Tebiltu, passed through the city. Virtually all of Nineveh's 15 gates also contained

¹See also Yigael Yadin, *The Art of Warfare in Biblical Lands*, pp. 4-5, 294-95, 452.

²Keil, 2:21-22.

³Maier, p. 243.

⁴McGee, 3:826.

⁵Yadin, p. 316.

passages for the waters from one of these tributaries or its canals. They were called "gates of the rivers."¹

Sennacherib had built a double dam and reservoir system to the north of the city to control the amount of water that entered it and to prevent flooding.² Nahum may have seen the invader opening these dam gates and flooding the city. However, ancient historians wrote that flooding from heavy rains also played a role in Nineveh's fall.

"Diodorus wrote that in the third year of the siege heavy rains caused a nearby river to flood part of the city and break part of the walls (*Bibliotheca Historica* 2. 26. 9; 2. 27. 13). Xenophon referred to terrifying thunder (presumably with a storm) associated with the city's capture (*Anabasis*, 3. 4. 12). Also the Khosr River, entering the city from the northwest at the Ninlil Gate and running through the city in a southwesterly direction, may have flooded because of heavy rains, or the enemy may have destroyed its sluice gate."³

Other possibilities are that Nahum saw fortified bridges, the city gates that lay below the nearby Tigris River, sluice gates that emptied water into moats, other breaches in Nineveh's walls made by water, or floodgates that controlled the Khosr within the city.⁴

The "palace" the prophet saw washed away ("dissolved") was perhaps that of Ashurbanipal, which stood in the north part of Nineveh.⁵ However, Nineveh contained many palaces and temples, and the Hebrew word *hekal*, used here, describes both types of structures. Assyria had ruined many enemy cities, palaces, and temples, but now this fate would befall Nineveh.

¹Armerding, p. 476.

²Maier, p. 253.

³Johnson, p. 1495. See also Maier, p. 253.

⁴Ibid., p. 1500. Cf. Keil, 2:23.

⁵Johnson, p. 1501.

2:7 The Lord's judgment of Nineveh had been determined. The city would be "stripped" of its treasures, and they and their possessors would be "carried away" to other places. Maier believed that "she" refers to the queen of Assyria, rather than the city of Nineveh.¹ Even the slave girls, the bottom of the social scale, as well as the nobles (v. 5), the top, would lament the fall of the city. They would make mournful sounds and beat "their breasts" like "doves" that cooed and flapped their wings.

The birds are mentioned, not because of their timidity or helplessness, but because their cooing was thought to resemble the sound of lamentation."²

Normally, one would expect slaves in a city to rejoice at its destruction, since that would mean their liberation. But life in Nineveh was good for some foreigners taken there as captives.

2. The second description of Nineveh's fall 2:8-13

The second description of Nineveh's fall is more philosophical than the first one, and ends with a statement by Yahweh that gives the reason for its fall (v. 13).

2:8 "Nineveh" had been as placid as the waters around the city for most of her history. This is the first explicit reference to Nineveh since 1:1, yet because of 1:1 we know that the prophet's revelations of destruction dealt with Nineveh. Nahum now saw it *inundated* with water, and enemy soldiers and its inhabitants fleeing in panic, like water gushing from a broken dam. Someone might call to them "Stop, stop," perhaps to defend the city, but "no one" would turn back.

2:9 The prophet called the invading soldiers to "plunder" Nineveh, to take for themselves its vast "wealth" of "silver," "gold," and other valuable treasures. The invaders were the allied

¹Maier, p. 257.

²Ibid., p. 259.

Babylonians, Medes, and Scythians.¹ Nineveh had accumulated her wealth through centuries of conquests, taxation, and trading.² It was the richest city in the ancient Near East in the seventh century B.C.³

"According to historical records, the Medes were the first to breach the defenses of Nineveh. Later, the Babylonians successfully attacked it. The Medes, however, were not interested in a long-term occupation of the area, but in a quick profit."⁴

2:10 The invaders would empty Nineveh of her treasures, and it would become a desolate wasteland. The Hebrew words in the first part of this verse sound like water flowing out of a bottle when read aloud, a literary device called onomatopoeia. Even the sound of the description of Nineveh's fall reinforced the prophecy. Hearts would melt and knees knock when people observed its overthrow. "Anguish" would grip "the whole body" of observers "and all their faces" would go "pale." If Nineveh could fall, would anything be secure?

2:11 After Nineveh's destruction, the people who remained would taunt the Assyrians by comparing Nineveh to a lion's "den" and nearby "feeding" grounds. They would also compare its inhabitants to "lions." Assyria's leaders were lion-like, and its youths like young lions, having plundered and preyed on others. But their once-secure haunts were now desolate.

"Assyrian kings prided themselves in their ability to kill lions in lion hunts. And the kings likened their own ferocity and fearlessness to that of lions. For example, Sennacherib boasted of his military fury by saying, 'Like a lion I raged.' Lions

¹Smith, p. 83.

²See D. D. Luckenbill, ed., *Ancient Records of Assyria and Babylonia*, 1:181, 211, 263; 2:20, 133, 205; and Pritchard, ed., 274-301. For brief histories of Mesopotamia in the seventh century B.C., see Longman, pp. 767-68; and E. von Voightlander, "A Survey of Neo-Babylonian History" (Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1963).

³Armerding, p. 477; Maier, p. 267.

⁴Longman, "Nahum," p. 807.

were frequently pictured in Assyrian reliefs and decorations."¹

2:12 Lions normally kill only what they need to eat, but the Assyrians "killed" innumerable enemies, not only to sustain their own needs, but just for the pleasure of conquest. They were unusually vicious toward their enemies, and notorious in the ancient world for being cruel.² Yet lions, while vicious, are not known for being excessively so.

2:13 Nahum closed this message with a word from Yahweh in which the Lord verbalized His antagonism toward Nineveh. What a terrible fate it is to have Almighty Yahweh say, "I am against you!" (cf. 3:5; Jer. 21:13; 50:31; 51:25; Ezek. 5:8; 13:8; 26:3; 28:22; 39:1; Rom. 8:31). He promised to destroy Nineveh's instruments of warfare. Invading armies would slay her "young lions" (men). She would no longer pounce on defenseless nations and devour helpless peoples like a lion does its prey. And "messengers" would "no longer" leave Nineveh with threats and to demand submission and taxes (cf. 2 Kings 18:17-25; 19:22; Isa. 37:4, 6).

3. The third description of Nineveh's fall 3:1-7

This description explains further the "why" for Nineveh's fall, whereas the first two descriptions in the previous chapter gave more of the actual events, the "what" of it. There is much similarity between the descriptions of the siege in 2:3-4 and 3:2-3, however. This section has been called a woe oracle because it pronounces doom on Nineveh in typical woe oracle fashion (cf. Isa. 5:18-19; Amos 5:18-20; 6:1-7; Mic. 2:1-4).³

3:1 Nahum pronounced woe on Nineveh, a city characterized by bloodshed. Here, as often elsewhere (e.g., Isa. 3:9), "woe" announces impending doom. Sometimes "woe" is an

¹Johnson, p. 1501. See also Gordon H. Johnston, "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to the Neo-Assyrian Lion Motif," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 158:631 (July-September 2001):287-307.

²See Pritchard, ed., p. 285; idem, ed., *The Ancient Near East in Pictures*, p. 373; Feinberg, p. 141; Hobart E. Freeman, *Nahum Zephaniah Habakkuk*, pp. 36-38; and Maier, pp. 281-83.

³See Patterson, pp. 81-82.

expression of grief (e.g., Isa. 6:5), but that is only its secondary meaning here. As noted earlier, the Assyrians were notorious for their cruelty that included cutting off hands, feet, ears, noses, gouging out eyes, lopping off heads, impaling bodies, and peeling the skin off living victims.¹ Nahum saw the city as completely "full of lies" (cf. 2 Kings 18:31) and "pillage" (cf. 2:9). Nineveh always had "prey"; she was constantly on the prowl looking for other nations to conquer.

3:2-3 Again the prophet described the sounds and sights that would accompany the battle in which Nineveh would fall (cf. 2:3-4). Whips could be heard as soldiers urged their horses forward. Nahum heard the sound of chariot wheels and the hoofs of horses bearing cavalry soldiers clattering on the pavement. "Horsemen" were "charging," "swords" were "flashing," and "spears" were "gleaming" in the light.

The large number of "corpses" on the scene of battle impressed Nahum. They seemed to be "countless," so many that they appeared to cover the ground completely. This description recalls the limitless plunder that the enemy would take, the same Hebrew words describing both.² The living soldiers had trouble moving about because they kept tripping over dead bodies. This was a scene that someone might have seen had they visited the site of one of the Assyrian army's battles, but this one was taking place in Nineveh and the dead were mainly Ninevites.

"The destruction of Sennacherib's army, which, in the morning, were *all dead corpses* [2 Kings 19:35], is perhaps looked upon here as a figure of the like destruction that should afterwards be in Nineveh."³

¹See Maier, pp. 290-92.

²See Chisholm, *Interpreting the ...*, pp. 176-77.

³Matthew Henry, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*, p. 1160.

"God has allowed Nahum to witness the fall of Nineveh even though it is years, perhaps even decades, away."¹

"No passage of Hebrew literature surpasses this for vividness of description."²

3:4 This devastation was coming on Nineveh because of her wickedness. She had played the harlot often, by luring unsuspecting nations and then harming them. For example, King Ahaz had been attracted to Assyria and had appealed for her to come and help Judah (2 Kings 16:7-18), but when she did, years later, she came to destroy rather than assist (cf. Isa. 36:16-17).

The Ninevites, furthermore, were practitioners of sorcery; they appealed to the spirit world for power to determine and control their destiny and that of their victims. The pagan worship of the Assyrians involved occultism, sexual perversion, and human degradation. Assyria had lured other nations, then, with immoral attractions and magical arts. These practices resulted in the enslavement of many nations and people groups; Nineveh sold them into slavery.

"Generally it is thought that only uneducated people go for the practices of the sorcerer. But perhaps the most superstitious are the so-called scientific. Out of a misplaced confidence in their own theories and hypotheses they somehow convince themselves that they control the future. Economists and political scientists set themselves to determine and declare the future. They call for faith in their predictions, even though they are proven to be wrong over and over."³

"I know a very fine Pentecostal preacher who preaches the Word of God and believes in speaking in tongues and in healing. He expressed to me that

¹Longman, "Nahum," p. 813.

²Charles L. Feinberg, "Nahum," in *The Wycliffe Bible Commentary*, p. 867.

³Robertson, p. 104.

there is a real danger in the tongues movement. He said, 'Not only does our group speak in tongues, there are those today in the occult who are also doing it. In my own church, we are being very careful about this sort of thing.' ... I would put up a warning to you today, friend: just because a thing seems to have a mark of the supernatural on it does not mean it is scriptural. You had better examine it very carefully to see whether it is scriptural. If it is supernatural and not scriptural, it is not of God. And there is only one other fellow who is in the business of the supernatural other than God, and that is Satan. Satan will ape God and imitate Him in every way that he possibly can."¹

- 3:5 Almighty Yahweh repeated that He was "against" Nineveh (cf. 2:13). He would expose her shamefulness because of her shameless acts, as when someone lifted the skirt of a lady over her head so high that he covered her face with it (cf. Isa. 47:1-3; Jer. 13:26-27; Ezek. 16:37; Hos. 2:3-5; Rev. 17:15-16). "Nakedness" was a great shame in the ancient world. She who had enslaved the nations (v. 4) would have her own nakedness exposed to them.
- 3:6 As the Assyrians had made many other people detestable, the Lord would do the same to them. Nahum's picture is that of God covering Nineveh with human excrement and then lifting her up for all to behold, a disgusting sight indeed.
- 3:7 It is no wonder then that everyone who saw Nineveh would recoil from her and remark on her devastated condition. No one would grieve over Nineveh's destruction because all would be glad that she got what she deserved. Mourners over her demise would not be found, because all the people would rejoice, not sorrow, over her humiliation (v. 19). At least a few mourners would attend any funeral in the ancient Near East, even if relatives had to pay them to attend. But no one would agree to weep for Nineveh, even if paid to do so. This is

¹McGee, 3:830.

hyperbole, but the point is clear: the world would rejoice when Nineveh fell.

"Seven times over in these three verses [vv. 5-7] the Lord speaks in the first person, declaring that he himself will exercise judgment against the city."¹

4. The fourth description of Nineveh's fall 3:8-19

This section, evidently another message that Nahum delivered concerning Nineveh's fall, begins by comparing it to the fall of another great city. Nahum proceeded to use many figures of speech to describe how various segments of Ninevite society would respond to the coming invasion. The literary form of the section is that of a taunt song.²

3:8 Nineveh was similar to the Egyptian capital, "No-amon" ("city of [the god] Amon," Gr. Thebes). Thebes had been the capital of Upper (southern) Egypt and had stood at the site of modern Karnak and Luxor, 400 miles south of Cairo. Water from rivers, tributaries, canals, and moats surrounded this city, as it did Nineveh, and both were capitals of mighty kingdoms. However, Thebes had fallen to Ashurbanipal the Assyrian in 663 B.C. Jeremiah and Ezekiel predicted its second future fall (Jer. 46:25; Ezek. 30:14, 16). Just as Thebes' solid and liquid defenses did not protect that city, so Nineveh's defenses would not protect it, either.

"The Nile is still called by the Beduins *bahr*, *i.e.* *sea*, and when it overflows it really resembles a sea."³

3:9 In contrast to Nineveh, Thebes had several allies. "Ethiopia" (Cush) was the country No-amon ruled over. It was a territory that included parts of modern southern Egypt, Sudan, Eritrea, and northern Ethiopia along the Red Sea. "Egypt" (Lower

¹Robertson, p. 108.

²See Patterson, pp. 93-94.

³Keil, 2:33.

Egypt) in Nahum's day was a separate country to the north of Ethiopia, and Ethiopia was the stronger of the two powers.

"Put" evidently lay farther to the south, reaching as far as present-day Somalia on the eastern tip of Africa, and "Lubim" (part of modern Libya) was to the west. Some references to Put in ancient literature seem to put it in the same area as modern Libya (cf. Gen. 10:6; 1 Chron. 1:8; Jer. 46:9; et al.), but the location described above seems more likely.¹ Thus Thebes' allies surrounded her for many miles, but that did not guarantee her security.

3:10 No-amon had become "an exile" and had gone "into captivity" to Assyria (cf. 2:7).² Instead of taking infants into captivity, however, the Assyrians simply slaughtered them where they found them, even at street corners (cf. Hos. 13:16).

"Their inhumane conqueror determined on a course of genocide as a way of assuring perpetual submission by an enemy that had been conquered only at great cost."³

The "honorable men" of Thebes suffered the humiliation of being auctioned off as slaves and dragged away to Assyria in chains.

"While the common people were taken captive en masse, the upper classes, valued more highly because of their position, education, and training, were awarded to individual conquerors by lot. This practice likewise was widespread throughout the Orient and is attested by Joel 3:3, indirectly by Obad. 11."⁴

Ashurbanipal recorded the following, concerning his march into Egypt:

¹See Maier, p. 322; and Elizabeth Achtemeier, *Nahum-Malachi*, p. 25.

²See Armerding, pp. 484-85, for a short history of the fall of Thebes.

³Robertson, p. 116.

⁴Maier, p. 326.

"I ... took the shortest road to Egypt and Nubia. During my march 22 kings ... [including] Manasseh king of Judah ... brought heavy gifts to me and kissed my feet. I made these kings accompany my army over the land—as well as over the sea-route with their armed forces and their ships."¹

3:11 The same fate would befall Nineveh. It too would lose its powers of self-defense and self-control. This would happen through excessive wine drinking (cf. 1:10), but also in a metaphorical sense, because the Ninevites would imbibe a cup of wrath from Yahweh. They would vanish from the world.

"The disappearance of the Assyrian people will always remain an unique and striking phenomenon in ancient history. Other, similar, kingdoms and empires have indeed passed away, but the people have lived on. Recent discoveries have, it is true, shown that poverty-stricken communities perpetuated the old Assyrian names and various places, for instance on the ruined site of Ashur, for many centuries, but the essential truth remains the same. A nation which had existed two thousand years and had ruled a wide area, lost its independent character."²

As noted above, the ancients could not find Nineveh after its destruction, and modern archaeologists, the Frenchman Botta and the Englishman Layard, first found physical evidence of Nineveh's existence in 1842. In the past, many people had sought to hide from the invading Assyrians, but when Nineveh fell, the Ninevites would try to hide.

"First the ancient capital of Asshur fell in 614 B.C. Then the combined forces of the Medes and the Babylonians assaulted Nineveh in 612 B.C. The city collapsed and was burned after a three-month siege. Retreating toward the west, a remnant of

¹Pritchard, *Ancient Near ...*, p. 294.

²J. B. Bury, et al., eds., *The Cambridge Ancient History*, 3:130.

loyal Assyrians established a new king and capital in Harran, approximately 250 miles toward the west. Two years later in 610 B.C., the remaining Assyrian forces were defeated again by Babylon. Although a combined Egyptian and Assyrian force retained some presence in the area for a while, the decisive battle of Carchemish in 605 B.C. eliminated the last vestiges of Assyrian presence in the Fertile Crescent."¹

3:12 Nineveh's "fortifications" would prove as weak as "fig trees" laden "with ripe fruit." Ripe figs fall off their trees of their own accord, and so easily would Nineveh's fortifications fall. Though the city's walls were large and impressive, they would crumble under their own weight when water eroded their foundations (cf. 2:6). The inhabitants, too, would drop like ripe fruit into the hands of their enemies.

3:13 The Ninevites would prove to be as defenseless, vulnerable, and fearful as "women"—in contrast to lion-like soldiers (cf. Isa. 19:16; Jer. 50:37; 51:30).

"Although the modern feminist movement may deny it, generally speaking women are weaker physically than men. Particularly when speaking of the hand-to-hand combat of battle, men retain predominance."²

"Quite overlooked in this connection have been the traditions of the effeminate degeneracy in Assyria shortly before its fall. A picture of this survives in the *Deipnosophistae* of Athenaeus, which portrays Sardanapalus [Ashurbanipal] with painted, pumiced face, blackened eyebrows, dressed in a woman's garments and sitting in the midst of his concubines. Popular tradition often distorts abnormalities, but the true historical representation of Ashurbanipal as 'a frightened

¹Robertson, pp. 118-19.

²Ibid., p. 119.

degenerate' may well typify the perversions which provoke Nahum's prediction, 'Thy people in thy midst are women.'¹

Their "gates" would be so weak that they could have been left open, rather than bolted shut, because "fire" would consume them (cf. Isa. 10:16-17).

3:14 With irony (cf. 2:1), Nahum urged the Ninevites to "draw" plenty of "water" so they would have enough to drink, and so they could extinguish the fires that would burn their gates and city. "Water" here may represent all the provisions that the Ninevites would need to stay alive during the siege.²

Sennacherib had built an impressive aqueduct system, and had dug 18 canals to bring water into Nineveh.³ The Assyrians had better "strengthen" their "fortifications," and make more bricks to build their walls and battlements higher and stronger, and "mortar" to fill in the holes the enemy would punch in them.

"Nineveh's ruins include traces of a counter-wall built by the inhabitants to defend the city near places where the enemy had broken down some of the city's defenses."⁴

3:15 However, if the Ninevites did strengthen their defenses, "fire" would "consume" them wherever they went to draw water, and "the sword" would "cut" them "down" as they built. The walls of Nineveh would become the walls of her tomb rather than her defense.

"There was no question about the clear traces of the burning of the temple (as also in the palace of Sennacherib), for a layer of ash about two inches

¹Maier, p. 335.

²Pusey, 2:159.

³Luckenbill, 2:149, n. 332.

⁴Johnson, p. 1503.

thick lay clearly defined in places on the southeast side about the level of the Sargon pavement."¹

The city's destruction would be like a "locust" invasion. A horde of invading soldiers would descend on Nineveh and leave nothing remaining (cf. Joel 1:2-13). Nahum ironically encouraged the Ninevites to multiply their numbers like locusts since they would have to face a swarm of invading locust-like soldiers.²

Another interpretation is that Nahum was addressing the invading soldiers and encouraging them to increase their number so they would be successful. This seems less likely to me since the references to "yourself" are to the people of Nineveh in the context, and an ironical word to them makes sense.

3:16 Assyrian "traders," seemingly "more" numerous "than the stars," had increased their country's wealth. However, they would be like locusts when the invasion came, in that they would fly away in vast numbers rather than defending Nineveh.

"The *Hebrew* has ten different names for the locust, so destructive was it."³

"Under Ashurbanipal, for the first time in 800 years, western Asia was dominated by a single political rule. With the vast territory of the empire under one central government, commerce could flourish throughout this area as never before."⁴

3:17 Assyria's guards also reminded Nahum of locusts. There were huge numbers of them, but when the heat of battle came they would run away. Locusts do the same thing. They take their places on walls in the cool of the day, but when the hot sun

¹R. Campbell Thompson and R. W. Hutchinson, *A Century of Exploration at Nineveh*, p. 77.

²Longman, "Nahum," p. 825.

³Robert Jamieson, A. R. Fausset, and David Brown, *Commentary Practical and Explanatory on the Whole Bible*, p. 826.

⁴Maier, p. 348.

beats on them they desert their posts and seek more comfortable surroundings.

"In a day in which 'church growth' has become the passing fad, Nahum's prophecy has something directly to say. The Lord is not impressed by numbers."¹

3:18 Nahum addressed the future king of Assyria, prophetically, who would rule after Nineveh's downfall (in 612 B.C.). This turned out to be Ashur-uballit, who tried for three years to hold the empire together from the city of Haran. The prophet told the king that Assyria's "shepherds" (leaders) and "nobles" were not providing leadership for their people. They were lying down on the job, asleep at the switch (cf. Isa. 5:26-27). The ordinary citizens were "scattered" all over, rather than being under the direction of the leaders, like sheep without shepherds. No one was available to re-gather them into the imperial fold.

"What God has said in this chapter concerning Assyria fits our nation like a glove. One glove fits Assyria—and that's been fulfilled. The other glove fits the United States. But are we listening to God today? No. No one to speak of is paying any attention. Certainly the leadership of our nation is not. The tragedy of the hour is our retreat from God and our rejection of Jesus Christ, the Prince of Peace, the Savior of the world."²

3:19 Addressing Nineveh again, in conclusion, Nahum reiterated that the "breakdown" of Assyria would be impossible to repair. She had a fatal illness from which she would not recover. Everyone who heard about her demise would rejoice because her long practice of wickedness had touched everyone.

"Even as the allies hailed the fall of Nazi Germany and the apparent death of Adolf Hitler, so all

¹Robertson, p. 125.

²McGee, 3:833.

nations were to greet the news of the demise of Assyria's king."¹

Only two books in the Bible end with rhetorical questions, Jonah and Nahum, both of which focus on Nineveh. Jonah ends on a note of compassion for Nineveh, but Nahum ends with assurance that God's patience had run out and the destruction of Nineveh was now certain.

Is this book only about God's judgment on Nineveh and the Assyrians, or does it have a broader message? The reasons God brought Nineveh and the Assyrian Empire down are the same reasons He will humble any similar people. Any nation or city that lusts for conquest, practices violence and brutality to dominate others, abuses its power, oppresses the weak, worships anything but Yahweh, or seeks help from the demonic world—shares in Nineveh's sins and can expect her fate.

¹Robertson, p. 130.

Appendix

The Impassibility of God

Many theologians have held the doctrine of "divine impassibility" over many centuries. This doctrine is as follows. Nothing in the created universe, external to God Himself, can cause Him to suffer or to be affected at all.¹ This was a tenet of the Greek Stoics, and perhaps that is one of the roots of this view today.²

This doctrine may raise questions in the minds of some concerning God's responses to His people's obedience, on the one hand, and our disobedience, on the other. Does man's obedience make God happy? And does our disobedience make Him sad? This is the impression that most people would draw just from reading the Bible.

Certainly the parable of the prodigal son (Luke 15) portrays God, as the father in the story, rejoicing over his younger son's repentance and return to home. It pictures a "happy" God. Likewise, the Flood account presents God as "sorry" that He had made man (Gen. 6:6). Also, the fact that Jesus, who is the ultimate revelation of God the Father, "wept" at the grave of Lazarus (John 11:35), and showed compassion and anger during His earthly ministry, shows that God is not impassible. These are only three of many examples of the biblical text presenting God as happy or sorry that human beings made certain decisions. They picture God as responding to human activity.

Yet because God is who He is, many theologians have concluded that He acts completely independent of any human influence. When Scripture describes God as responding to human actions, they say, it uses anthropomorphic expressions (i.e., descriptions of God in human terms even though He is not a human—apart, of course, from His incarnation in Christ). God was sorry He made man only in the sense that humankind's rebellion was an evil thing. It did not make Him sorry in the sense that man's action elicited a *response* of sorrow from God.

¹See, for example, William G. T. Shedd, *Dogmatic Theology*, 2:387.

²See William Barclay, *The Letter to the Hebrews*, pp. 39-40.

These theologians believe that when we read in the Prophets, for example, that the sins of the Israelites grieved God's heart, or that God was angry with people, we need to understand such statements as in no way contradicting His impassibility. They are simply descriptions of God as though He were a human responding. Actually, they say, He does *not respond*; in other words, He does not react to man's acts on the basis of or with emotion. His orientation toward sin is consistently negative, and His orientation toward righteousness is consistently positive, regardless of any human activity.

Other theologians view statements of God's responses to human actions as genuine responses. While there are admittedly many anthropomorphic descriptions of God in the Bible, these theologians believe some references to God responding, while anthropomorphic, are descriptions of how God really does respond. These texts indicate that He does respond to some human actions.

I tend to agree with the second position. I have found nothing in Scripture that tells the reader to understand God as not truly responding to human actions, including prayer. Rather I find much that leads the reader to conclude that God does indeed respond to some (not all) human activity.

It seems to me that the doctrine of impassibility rests on a philosophical deduction concerning God, rather than on solid Scriptural revelation. Nowhere does God say in Scripture that He does not respond to human action, though, being holy, He is of course not *subject* to sin or sinful human beings. On the contrary, the writers of Scripture wrote repeatedly that He *does* respond to human activity.

Open theists take the fact that God responds to some human activity too far. They believe that there are things that God does not know until humans act. This view goes beyond the biblical revelation to the opposite extreme. It denies the omniscience of God and limits the sovereignty of God. Process theologians take this even further than Open theists. They believe that God is in the process of developing as a result of human activity.¹

¹See also Walter C. Kaiser Jr.'s discussion of this subject in his commentary on Lamentations: *A Biblical Approach to Personal Suffering*, pp. 59-62.

Bibliography

- Achtemeier, Elizabeth. *Nahum-Malachi*. Interpretation series. Atlanta: John Knox Press, 1986.
- Armerding, Carl E. "Nahum." In *Daniel-Minor Prophets*. Vol. 7 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*. 12 vols. Edited by Frank E. Gaebelein and Richard P. Polcyn. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1985.
- Baker, David W. *Nahum, Habakkuk and Zephaniah: An Introduction and Commentary*. Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries series. Leicester, Eng., and Downers Grove, Ill.: Inter-Varsity Press, 1988.
- Barclay, William. *The Letter to the Hebrews*. Daily Study Bible series. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: Saint Andrew Press, 1963.
- Baxter, J. Sidlow. *Explore the Book*. 1960. One vol. ed. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1980.
- Bewer, J. A. *The Literature of the Old Testament*. 3rd ed. New York: Columbia University, 1962.
- Bleibler, Erika. "Grisly Assyrian Record of Torture and Death." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 17:1 (January/February 1991):52-61, 75.
- Bramer, Stephen J. "Suffering in the Writing Prophets (Isaiah to Malachi)." In *Why, O God? Suffering and Disability in the Bible and the Church*, pp. 147-59. Edited by Larry J. Waters and Roy B. Zuck. Wheaton: Crossway, 2011.
- Bright, John. *A History of Israel*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1959.
- Bury, J. B.; S. A. Cook; and F. E. Adcock, eds. *The Cambridge Ancient History*. 12 vols. 2nd ed. reprinted. Cambridge, Eng.: University Press, 1928.
- Calkins, Raymond. *The Modern Message of the Minor Prophets*. New York: Harper & Brothers, 1947.
- Calvin, John. *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. The Library of Christian Classics series, volumes 20 and 21. Edited by John T. McNeill.

Translated by Ford Lewis Battles. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1960.

Cathcart, Kevin J. "The Divine Warrior and the War of Yahweh in Nahum." In *Biblical Studies in Contemporary Thought: The Tenth Anniversary Commemorative Volume of the Trinity College Biblical Institute 1966-1975*. Edited by Miriam Ward. Somerville, Mass.: Greeno, Hadden, 1975.

Chisholm, Robert B., Jr. *Handbook on the Prophets*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 2002.

_____. *Interpreting the Minor Prophets*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1990.

_____. "A Theology of the Minor Prophets." In *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, pp. 397-433. Edited by Roy B. Zuck. Chicago: Moody Press, 1991.

Christensen, Duane L. "The Acrostic of Nahum Reconsidered." *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 87 (1975):17-30.

Cochrane, J. S. "Literary Features of Nahum." Th.M. thesis, Dallas Theological Seminary, 1954.

Craigie, Peter C. *Twelve Prophets*. 2 vols. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1985.

Darby, John Nelson. *Synopsis of the Books of the Bible*. Revised ed. 5 vols. New York: Loizeaux Brothers Publishers, 1942.

Driver, Samuel R. *An Introduction to the Literature of the Bible*. 11th ed. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1913.

Dyer, Charles H., and Eugene H. Merrill. *The Old Testament Explorer*. Nashville: Word Publishing, 2001. Reissued as *Nelson's Old Testament Survey*. Nashville: Thomas Nelson Publishers, 2001.

Eichrodt, Walther. *Theology of the Old Testament*. 5th ed. revised. 2 vols. Translated by John A. Baker. The Old Testament Library series. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1961 and 1967.

- Feinberg, Charles Lee. *Jonah Micah and Nahum*. The Major Messages of the Minor Prophets series. New York: American Board of Missions to the Jews, 1951.
- _____. "Nahum." In *The Wycliffe Bible Commentary*, pp. 863-69. Edited by Charles F. Pfeiffer and Everett F. Harrison. Chicago: Moody Press, 1962.
- Finegan, Jack. *Light From the Ancient Past: The Archeological Background of Judaism and Christianity*. Second ed. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; and London: Oxford University Press, 1959.
- Freeman, Hobart E. *Nahum Zephaniah Habakkuk*. Everyman's Bible Commentary series. Chicago: Moody Press, 1973.
- Gaebelein, Arno C. *The Annotated Bible*. 4 vols. Reprint ed. Chicago: Moody Press, and New York: Loizeaux Brothers, 1970.
- Gray, George Buchanan. *The Forms of Hebrew Poetry (considered with special reference to the criticism and interpretation of the Old Testament)*. The Library of Biblical Studies series, edited by Harry M. Orlinsky. 1915; revised ed., n.c.: KTAV Publishing House, 1972.
- Hanna, Kenneth G. *From Moses to Malachi: Exploring the Old Testament*. 2nd ed. Edited by Roy B. Zuck. Bloomington, Ind.: CrossBooks, 2014.
- Henry, Matthew. *Commentary on the Whole Bible*. One volume ed. Edited by Leslie F. Church. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing Co., 1961.
- Ironside, Harry A. *Notes on the Minor Prophets*. New York: Loizeaux Brothers, 1947.
- Jacob, Edmond. *Theology of the Old Testament*. Translated by Arthur W. Heathcote and Philip J. Allcock. New York and Evanston, Ill.: Harper & Row, 1958.
- Jamieson, Robert; A. R. Fausset; and David Brown. *Commentary Practical and Explanatory on the Whole Bible*. Reprint ed. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1961.
- Johnson, Elliott E. "Nahum." In *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, pp. 1493-1504. Edited by John F. Walvoord and Roy B. Zuck. Wheaton: Scripture Press Publications, Victor Books, 1985.

- Johnston, Gordon H. "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to Neo-Assyrian Conquest Metaphors." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 159:633 (January-March 2002):21-45.
- _____. "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to Neo-Assyrian Treaty Curses." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 158:632 (October-December 2001):415-36.
- _____. "Nahum's Rhetorical Allusions to the Neo-Assyrian Lion Motif." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 158:631 (July-September 2001):287-307.
- _____. "A Rhetorical Analysis of the Book of Nahum." Ph.D. dissertation, Dallas Theological Seminary, 1992.
- Josephus, Flavius. *The Works of Flavius Josephus*. Translated by William Whiston. London: T. Nelson and Sons, 1866; reprint ed. Peabody, Mass.: Hendrickson Publishers, 1988.
- Kaiser, Walter C., Jr. *A Biblical Approach to Personal Suffering*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1982.
- _____. *Toward an Old Testament Theology*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1978.
- Keil, Carl Friedrich. *The Twelve Minor Prophets*. 2 vols. Translated by James Martin. Biblical Commentary on the Old Testament. Reprint ed. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1949.
- Longman, Tremper, III. "The Divine Warrior: The New Testament Use of an Old Testament Motif." *Westminster Theological Journal* 44 (1982):290-307.
- _____. "Nahum." In *The Minor Prophets: An Exegetical and Expositional Commentary*, 2:765-829. 3 vols. Edited by Thomas Edward McComiskey. Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1992, 1993, and 1998.
- Longman, Tremper, III and Raymond B. Dillard. *An Introduction to the Old Testament*. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2006.
- Lowth, Robert. *Lectures on the Sacred Poetry of the Hebrews*. Translated by George Gregory. London: Tegg, 1839.
- Luckenbill, Daniel David, ed. *Ancient Records of Assyria and Babylon*. 2 vols. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1926-27.

- Maier, Walter A. *The Book of Nahum: A Commentary*. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1959; reprint ed., Minneapolis: James Family, 1977.
- McGee, J. Vernon. *Thru the Bible with J. Vernon McGee*. 5 vols. Pasadena, Calif.: Thru The Bible Radio; and Nashville: Thomas Nelson, Inc., 1983.
- Morgan, G. Campbell. *An Exposition of the Whole Bible*. Westwood, N.J.: Fleming H. Revell Co., 1959.
- _____. *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*. 2 vols. New York: Fleming H. Revell Co., 1912.
- _____. *The Unfolding Message of the Bible*. Westwood, N.J.: Fleming H. Revell Co., 1961.
- The Nelson Study Bible*. Edited by Earl D. Radmacher. Nashville: Thomas Nelson Publishers, 1997.
- The New Bible Dictionary*. Edited by J. D. Douglas. 1962 ed. S.v. "Nineveh," by D. J. Wiseman, pp. 888-90.
- The New Scofield Reference Bible*. Edited by Frank E. Gaebelin, William Culbertson, et al. New York: Oxford University Press, 1967.
- Patterson, Richard D. *Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah*. Wycliffe Exegetical Commentary series. Chicago: Moody Press, 1991.
- Payne, J. Barton. *The Theology of the Older Testament*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1962.
- Pritchard, James B., ed. *The Ancient Near East in Pictures*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1954.
- _____, ed. *Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*. 3rd ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969.
- Pusey, E. B. *The Minor Prophets*. Barnes on the Old Testament series. 2 vols. Reprint ed. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1973.
- Robertson, O. Palmer. *The Books of Nahum, Habakkuk, and Zephaniah*. New International Commentaries on the Old Testament series. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1990.

- Robinson, George L. *The Twelve Minor Prophets*. N.c.: Harper & Brothers, 1926; reprint ed., Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1974.
- Shedd, William G. T. *Dogmatic Theology*. 2 vols. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1889.
- Smith, George Adam. *The Book of the Twelve Prophets Commonly Called the Minor*. 2 vols. Vol. 1: 10th ed. Vol. 2: 7th ed. The Expositor's Bible. Edited by W. Robertson Nicoll. London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1903.
- Smith, Ralph L. *Micah-Malachi*. Word Biblical Commentary series. Waco, Tex.: Word Books, Publisher, 1984.
- Swindoll, Charles R. *The Swindoll Study Bible*. Carol Stream, Ill.: Tyndale House Publishers, 2017.
- Thomas, D. Winton., ed. *Documents from Old Testament Times*. New York: Harper & Row, 1958.
- Thompson, R. Campbell, and Hutchinson, R. W. *A Century of Exploration at Nineveh*. London: Luzac, 1929.
- von Rad, Gerhard. *Old Testament Theology*. 2 vols. Translated by D. M. G. Stalker. New York and Evanston, Ill.: Harper & Row, 1962 and 1965.
- von Voightlander, E. "A Survey of Neo-Babylonian History." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1963.
- Waltke, Bruce K. *An Old Testament Theology*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 2007.
- Wiersbe, Warren W. "Nahum." In *The Bible Exposition Commentary/Prophets*, pp. 405-10. Colorado Springs, Colo.: Cook Communications Ministries; and Eastbourne, England: Kingsway Communications Ltd., 2002.
- Wood, Leon J. *The Prophets of Israel*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1979.
- Yadin, Yigael. *The Art of Warfare in Biblical Lands*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1963.

Zawadzki, S. *The Fall of Assyria and Median-Babylonian Relations in Light of the Nabopolassar Chronicle*. Delft, Holland: Eburon, 1988.